

Comment on "Microscopic Theory of Periodic Conductances
in Narrow Channels"

Norio Kawakami

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan.

PACS numbers: 73.20.Dx, 73.20.Mf, 71.40.-c

In a recent letter Johnson and Payne (JP) have studied the effect of the electron interaction on the periodic conductance oscillations in narrow channels based on an exactly solvable model, and have explained several characteristic properties observed experimentally [1]. They have obtained the successive peaks in the oscillations with the single period for *any* value of the interaction strength. In their model, however, the exchange effect is completely neglected, leading to the results essentially same as those of spinless fermions. In this Comment we discuss the exchange effect on JP's model using a solvable electron model. We wish to point out that when the interaction strength becomes rather small, there may appear two periods in the conductance oscillations due to the exchange effect.

The model proposed by JP is the one-dimensional continuum electron system confined by the harmonic potential $\frac{1}{2}m^*\omega_0 \sum_i x_i^2$ with repulsive interactions of the inverse-square type, $\sum_{i>j} \lambda(\lambda+1)(x_i - x_j)^{-2}$, where the dimensionless parameter λ is expressed as $\lambda = \tau - 1/2$ with the parameter τ in JP's paper [1]. Although this model is solvable it completely neglects the exchange effect, so that the ground state is highly degenerate due to the internal spin degrees of freedom as is the case for the $U = \infty$ Hubbard model. A solvable electron model including the exchange effect has been proposed by Polychronakos [2] and Ha-Haldane [3] in a slightly different context. The interaction takes the form of $\sum_{i>j} \lambda(\lambda + P_{ij}^\sigma)(x_i - x_j)^{-2}$ where P_{ij}^σ is the spin exchange operator of two electrons. This model enables us to study how the exchange effect modifies the period of the conductance oscillations in JP's model. The ground-state wavefunction includes the Vandermonde determinantal products [1], the power of which is raised up to $\lambda + 1$ for the particles with the same spins and to λ for the different spins [3]. Applying the analysis of ref. [3] it can be deduced that the energy increment quadratically proportional to the electron number is written in a succinct form,

$$\Delta E(\Delta N) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_0 \vec{n}^t \mathbf{A} \vec{n}, \quad (1)$$

with the 2×2 matrix $A_{ij} = \lambda + \delta_{ij}$, where the integer-valued vector $\vec{n} = (n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow)$ labels the change of the electron number as $\Delta N = n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow$. The lowest-energy state associated with the change of the electron number ($\Delta N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) is given respectively by the quantum

numbers $\vec{n} = (1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 1)$, etc. According to JP [1] the spacing δ of the successive peaks in the conductance oscillations is given by $\delta = \Delta E(N + 2) + \Delta E(N) - 2\Delta E(N + 1)$, which is evaluated as,

$$\delta_1 = \hbar\omega_0\lambda, \quad \delta_2 = \hbar\omega_0(\lambda + 1). \quad (2)$$

It is seen that there appear two periods for the conductance oscillations reflecting the internal spin degrees of freedom in contrast to JP's model which is essentially as same as the spinless-fermion model (the spacing is simply given by $\delta = \hbar\omega_0(\lambda+1)$) [1]. For the parameters used by JP ($\delta \sim 8.5\hbar\omega_0$), we get from (2) $\delta_1 \sim 7.5\hbar\omega_0$ and $\delta_2 \sim 8.5\hbar\omega_0$, implying the correction due to the exchange effect is rather small for these parameters. As the interaction becomes weaker (smaller λ), however, the above exchange effect becomes conspicuous, making two periods more distinct. When the interaction strength takes the vanishing value ($\lambda \rightarrow 0$), the present model reproduces the results of free electrons in the harmonic potential ($\delta_1 \rightarrow 0, \delta_2 \rightarrow \hbar\omega_0$), which are consistent with the results in ref. [3]. Though the present model includes the exchange effect in a specific way, it clearly demonstrates that for weakly correlated cases one may naturally expect two kinds of the oscillation periods by taking into account the exchange effect even in a model with singular interactions of the $1/x^2$ type.

REFERENCES

- ¹ N. F. Johnson and M. C. Payne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1513 (1993).
- ² A. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 703 (1992).
- ³ Z. N. C. Ha and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 9395 (1992).